Published by

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

P. O. Box 40205, Cole Be., Hollywood 48, Calif.

Organized to Combat Communican

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

April - May, 1958 - News-Bulletin

This News-Bulletin copyrighted May, 1958
Price per copy, fifty cents

All rights reserved

IRIS THE A. D. L., NR. HOOVER!

By MYRON C. FAGAN

PLEASE READ -

No. 63

UNHAPPY REPORTING

It is now more than ten years that I have been reporting the war between the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy and the American people — or, rather, I should say the fraction of the American people fighting to save our country.

During those more than ten years I have written millions of words. In all of those words I have endeavored to be meticulously observant of all the ethics of journalism. At times it was distressingly unhappy reporting. But I never favored old friends who, for their own various reasons, had been rendering aid and comfort to the "Enemy" — I named and unmasked them just as bluntly as I named and unmasked all proven renegades and traitors. Moreover, whether reporting the charlatanry of an FDR, an Eisenhower, an Earl Warren, or the treason of those in Hollywood and on Broadway, I never sought to dodge responsibility by resorting to innuendo or to journalistic trickery. I reported the facts as I found them — called a spade a shovel — without favor and without fear. I named many hundreds of renegades and traitors, most of them in high places, who ordinarily would react with angry libel suits to just a mere insinuation of dishonesty or of untruth. I bluntly charged them with the heinous crime of treason, yet not one ever went into a court of law to challenge the integrity of my reporting.

However, not all of it was unhappy reporting. There was the (1949) "Battle of Sacramento", when the people of California tore the "United World Federalists" to shreds and torpedoed their traitorous "Resolution" plot . . . there was that battle in Washington, D. C., in 1950-51, when our reporting hurled the "United Nations" into panic flight and smashed their "Genocide Treaty" plot it also was most happy reporting when the "Invasion of Little Rock" boomeranged and frightened the intrepid (?) "military genius", Eisenhower, into hastily abandoning his plans to "bayonet" the entire South into surrendering its sovereignty to the Internationalist-Communist conspiracy.

There were other similar happy reportings of occasional awakenings of our people, but, unfortunately, there were not and there are not nearly enough such awakenings if we are to save our country.

My most unhappy reporting came when I had to record the defections, witting or unwitting, of individuals who in their hearts are loyal to America, but, who, in moments of weakness, or through lack of intestinal fortitude, surrendered to pressures and/or blan-

dishments and aided the "Enemy" to victories which otherwise they never could have achieved. The most deplorable case of such reporting, up to the present writing, was that of the late Senator Taft's tragically disastrous mistake in 1952.

TAFT WAS A LOYAL AMERICAN

Lest there be a misconception of what is to follow, I wish to go on record here and now that Bob Taft was as American as the Stars and Stripes! I knew Bob Taft many years and I can truthfully say that I have never known a more loyal American - or a more honest and honorable man. Paradoxical though it may sound, in the field he chose for his life's work Taft's high sense of honor and honesty was his greatest handicap. "Honesty among politicians" is as ribald a saving as that old cliche of "Honor among thieves." Born in politics, it is inconceivable that Taft did not know all that. Yet, so ingrained was his sense of honesty, he just could not believe that an Earl Warren or a Stassen could be of lesser integrity. That was the tragic mistake he made at that 1952 Republican Convention in Chicago — he rejected the sage advice of his real friends and accepted the "word" and promises of the Warrens and Stassens and thereby wrecked his own dearly beloved Republican Party and destroyed the one sure-fire opportunity for the American people to regain control of the destiny of our nation.

I reported that "mistake" in all its details in our July 1952 issue, and, as certain occasions required, reviewed it in later issues, so there should be no need to re-open that grievous wound in this issue — except that it has a tremendous bearing on an equally shocking "mistake" recently made by a man who is even more highly respected by ALL of the American people than Taft was by those who proudly called themselves "Taft Republicans." And before I go any further, I wish to make it very clear that the recording of this man's "mistake" is just as great a torture for me today as was the recording of Taft's mistake in 1952 . . . and now back to that "mistake" of 1952:

Long before the Convention, even before Eisenhower "reluctantly" admitted that he could be "coaxed" into "accepting" the nomination, many of the wiser Old Guard leaders strenuously advocated that the Party pin all its hopes on General Douglas MacArthur. There is no need to speculate on the outcome had the Party heeded that advice. MacArthur was the idol of the American people. And he was a known quality. With him in the White House there

would have been no more of the "Minority Group" treason plottings there would not have been an Earl Warren on the U. S. Supreme Court Bench no Herbert Brownell in control . of the Department of Justice and of the FBI. In a word, MacArthur in the White House would have meant short shrift to "The Enemy Within" and no shilly-shallying with the Enemy without. It might well have meant the end of all diplomatic relations with the Kremlin banditti — even more important, I am confident it would have meant the end of the UN as far the the U. S. is concerned. In short, MacArthur in the White House would have meant the restoration of America to the American people!

There was only one reason why MacArthur was not the pre-Convention *unanimous* choice of the Republican Party bigwigs — and that was Bob Taft's lifelong desire to climax his career with the Presidency of the United States.

It was a worthy desire of a man worthy of the office. Bob Taft would have been an excellent President —and a staunch protector of everything American. My only criticism is that the loyal-to-America leaders of the Party, and Bob Taft, permitted sentimentality to blind them to the realities of the times. They gambled the nation to make one man happy — and no one man is, or ever was, worth that kind of a gamble. Of course, it is true that over-confidence had much to do with it, to wit:

Before the end of 1951 it was obvious that the American people were utterly fed up with the little Missouri ward-heeler and the entire gang of Internationalist stooges in Washington. It was a foregone conclusion that 1952 would see a Republican landslide. The jubilant Republican Party leaders began to cast about for the most desirable candidate. Douglas MacArthur stood head and shoulders above everybody else. But Bob Taft was the beloved symbol of the Republican Party — indeed, he was not just a Republican — he was MR. REPUBLICAN! And because 1952 was bound to be a Republican year, the leaders of the Party — and Douglas MacArthur — decided that Taft was to have his chance.

Now, up to that point that was fine and dandy. However, the Old Guard knew that the Internationalists, fully aware of the changing political climate, had long been scheming and planning to get control of the Republican convention — and to steam roller a man of their choice into the nomination. As long as their choice was limited to a Tom Dewey, or an Earl Warren, or a Stassen, the Taft camp had nothing to fear. But the Internationalists were fully aware of that, too. The wiser men in the Old Guard anticipated that the Internationalists would pull a "dark horse" on them at the

last moment, and for that reason it was agreed that MacArthur was to stand by and be ready to jump in on a moment's notice when and if the nomination was in danger. Both Taft and MacArthur were willing parties to that agreement. And it is a known fact that during the 24 hours before that crucial first ballot in 1952 MacArthur sat waiting in his suite in the Waldorf-Astoria — and there was a plane gassed and ready at LaGuardia airport — but the summons never came!

We know what happened. We know all about the chicaneries and the connivings and the bribings of the Deweys and the Warrens and the Stassens and their Internationalist masters during the days before the balloting — we know how Eisenhower scorned the West Point traditions about the honor and integrity of an officer and a gentleman and lied his way through to the theft of the nomination.

Throughout the 24 hours before that first ballot Taft's closest friends and advisors urged and pleaded that he send for "the Big Gun" (MacArthur) — they warned him that there would be no second ballot. But, in his desperation for the high office, and despite his doubts about Warren's and Stassen's false promises, Taft held off — and let pass the golden opportunity to wrest the destiny of the nation out of the clutches of the Internationalist conspirators. But, wait — he was yet to make an even greater mistake!

Completely disillusioned by what happened, Taft vowed that while he would do nothing to hinder Eisenhower's campaign he would do nothing to help it. And he departed for a long vacation. That would automatically have deprived Eisenhower of the support of all the Taft Republicans.

Now, I don't mean to say that that would have meant defeat for Eisenhower. With the pusillanimous and notoriously "Liberal" Stevenson as an opponent any Republican would have won the election. But without the support of Taft Eisenhower's victory would have been greatly narrowed. Certainly, he would not have emerged as a "God-man" whose "judgment" Congress would not dare to challenge — as a "pied piper" whom the brainwashed and blinded American people would follow no matter where he would lead. In short, his values to the Great Conspiracy would have been greatly lessened.

The Internationalists were fully aware of it. They knew that only an overwhelming victory at the polls could transform this mediocre barracks soldier into a people's idol — and they knew that only the support of Taft could create such a miracle. In view of Eisenhower's deliberate and false vilification of Taft throughout the

battle for the ballot, it seemed utterly impossible that Taft could be persuaded to support his traducer. But Taft had one widely known "blind spot" — that was "loyalty to Party." He, of course, indignantly denied it, but his loyalty to the Republican Party transcended all other loyalties. The Internationalists knew it — and they assigned the job of appealing to that "blind spot" to Rabbi Hillel Silver and Izzy (he prefers to be known as I. Jack) Martin. Both were long known to have been Taft's "Svengalis". Both are notorious Zionists — but, even more significant, both are known to be zealous stooges of the "ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE"!

The rest of the story needs no repeating in these pages — a man whose love of country was beyond all question permitted his loyalty to Party to come ahead of loyalty to country.

And that brings us to that other, even graver, "mistake" of another great, and otherwise zealously loyal, American:

VALIANT IS THE WORD FOR THEM

In the life of our nation we have had many crises. For each crisis each generation brought forth its own small band of supreme and selfless patriots. The pages of our history are studded with many glorious names handed down to us by all those generations — names of men who knew no compromise with loyalty to country.

Except for one name, it is too early to forecast who of our present generation will join all those valiants in their Hall of the Immortals. Robert Taft might well have been one had he not paused to worship at the tarnished shrine of his treason-bespattered Party. Douglas MacArthur can be one if he does not too long delay coming out of his silence to lift the one voice that might well mean the salvation of the Land he truly loves. There are others, the Jenners and the Mundts and the Bracken Lees, the Malones and the Goldwaters, whose deeds are stepping stones toward the gates of that holy Hall. But of them all there is only one, Joe McCarthy, whose place will forever be in the select circle with Patrick Henry and Paul Revere, with Washington and Jefferson and Lincoln. For there was a man who knew but one loyalty — to God and Country. To faithfully serve that loyalty he fiercely rejected all pressures scorned all blandishments. He sought no glory, no personal aggrandizement, no earthly riches. He suffered abuse and betrayals and vilifications. But he never faltered in his loyalty — and, finally, he gave his very life to fulfill that loyalty

Whatever God may will — whether America is to be saved or destroyed — the most glorious page in the history of this generation will forever bear the name of Joe McCarthy!

THE "MISTAKE" OF J. EDGAR HOOVER

Before I go on I wish to repeat my earlier statement that the recording of Mr. Hoover's "mistake" is even more distressing for me than was the reporting of the late Senator Taft's "mistake" in 1952. But I would be false to my professional integrity and to my conceptions of "loyalty to country" if I failed to do so.

I have followed J. Edgar Hoover's career ever since the day he became Director of the FBI. I have always had a great admiration for him — not only for his great efficiency in that job, but for his, to me, Rock-of-Gibralter Americanism. And I take this occasion to stress that no matter what I will say in this report about his "mistakes", I am sure that in his heart he is just as loyal to America as Joe McCarthy was — and that is a tribute I reserve for very few men.

J. Edgar Hoover is probably the most frequently quoted authority on Communism. And rightly so! I know of no other man who better knows that vicious conspiracy — or, indeed, who knows it as well. In addition, no other man has the faith and confidence of the American people to the degree held by J. Edgar Hoover. By that token, every word he utters has inestimable value for us in our war with the Great Conspiracy. However, by that same token, every misconception he utters — as he has done in his latest book, "MASTERS OF DECEIT" — can be frightfully damaging to us. I have read every word he ever uttered, orally or written, on the subject of Communism. I whole heartedly and enthusiastically endorsed every one of them — except when it was obvious to me that he was "pulling his punches". On those occasions I remained silent — because I knew the pressures that forced him to do it.

For just one example, there was that Anna Rosenberg incident: George Marshall (of "I don't know where I was when Pearl Harbor was attacked" infamy), our then Secretary of Defense, announced that he was appointing the notorious Annie to be First Assistant Secretary of Defense.

Aside from the utter ridiculousness of placing a woman in such a highly sensitive post, there was an immediate outcry against it —

because it was commonly known that La Rosenberg was, to express it mildly, notoriously pro-Communist.

Fulton Lewis, Jr. and Joe McCarthy were among the first and the most vehement to blast the appointment. Day after day Lewis recited Annie's pro-Communist activities. Many members of Congress, equally outraged, were equally determined that she should not be confirmed. But the masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Great Conspiracy were determined that she should be confirmed — and the ADL, the Conspiracy's "muscle man" outfit, promptly went into action.

The reason is obvious: Anna Rosenberg is one of the Great Conspiracy's most favored — and most effective — tools. Landing her in any key post was tantamount to transforming it into a Great Conspiracy stronghold. Therefore it was only natural that the ADL would leave no stone unturned to get her confirmed in such a vital job — because, aside from being a willing stooge himself, the senile, muddleheaded Marshall would be putty in her hands; hence, the "Conspiracy" would be the real Secretary of Defense. But despite all of the ADL's maneuverings the outcries (especially those of Fulton Lewis) bore fruit — "little Orphan Annie" looked very much like a casualty. In sheer desperation the ADL decided to play their ace card — they warned Lewis that if he did not reverse himself and retract everything he said about La Rosenberg they would prove that the whole thing was an "anti-semitic" plot.

Lewis laughed raucously — that had been tried before and never got anywhere — there is nothing in the Lewis background on which they could pin such a charge and make it stick. He continued his expositions.

Well—in "Red Treason on Broadway", written shortly after that incident, I revealed the entire story of how the ADL brought their choicest and best known "smear carrier" to Washington and how the Washington newspapers front-paged that "smear carrier's" announcement that he had "arrived in Washington at the behest of Fulton Lewis to help him (Lewis) drive the JEWESS, Anna M. Rosenberg, out of Washington."

Lewis promptly denounced the "smear carrier" on the air as an unmitigated liar — and nailed his lie down with prima facie evidence. But that "drive the JEWESS out of Washington" was promptly front-paged all over the country and became the battle-cry of the ADL and of all of its affiliated organizations. A skilfully organized volume of violent protests and denunciations came pouring into Washington. Those Members of Congress who had been

most vociferously opposed to Rosenberg, terrified by the threat of the "anti-semitic" brand, hurriedly withdrew their objections to her — and urged Lewis to "forget" it. And Lewis, faced with the loss of the bulk of his sponsors, surrendered.

However, the masterminds behind Rosenberg (the ADL) were not satisfied with a mere discontinuation of expositions — that would be too obvious. Only a complete retraction would restore Annie's "good name" — and qualify her to become the Boss of our Defense Department. But, in the face of all the documentations of her pro-Communist activities submitted in all his broadcasts, just a retraction without a very plausible explanation would be suspect. He had to be provided with an ironclad "out". What could be more "ironclad" than "confirmation" by the FBI? So, lo and behold, Mr. Lewis suddenly announced that he had been informed by somebody (a very vague somebody) in the FBI that there was "another Anna Rosenberg" who was the real culprit — and he "apologized" and retracted all his charges. Of course nobody, not the FBI or anybody else, ever produced that "other Anna M. Rosenberg" — because there was no "other Anna M. Rosenberg" . . . nor was the "somebody" in the FBI who "told" Lewis about that "other Anna M. Rosenberg" ever identified. But the "clearance" provided by the highly respected FBI turned the trick — all opposition to the ADL's Annie evaporated and she was swiftly confirmed.

The realization that the FBI had served as a catspaw for the ADL came as a horrifying shock to me. Nevertheless, when I reported the hoax in "RED TREASON ON BROADWAY" I only briefly touched on it — I made no issue of it, for the following reason:

Practically from its very inception the FBI has had a very warm spot in the hearts of the American people. In our collective mind it is the Rock of Gibralter for Law and Order within our Land. As evidence of that nationwide faith and affection, of all the vicious decisions issued by the contemptible Earl Warren (and his Associates) the one that was directed against the FBI aroused a far greater outcry than all the others combined. Unquestionably, that high reputation was based — and rightly so — upon the character and personality of one man: J. Edgar Hoover. The FBI is J. Edgar Hoover and J. Edgar Hoover is the FBI HE is the Rock of Gibralter!

Now, throughout all the years, it has been accepted that he is both indestructible and incorruptible — that in the operation of the FBI he is the sole and supreme ruler. Unfortunately, that is not quite true. Technically, the U. S. Attorney General is J. Edgar

Hoover's boss. Nor can he turn a deaf ear to the man in the White House. It is needless for me to add that since 1933 every man in the White House and all of his appointees have been taking their orders from the Internationalist cabal. Hence it is clearly obvious how and why the FBI was "induced" to "catspaw" the Anna Rosenberg chestnut out of the fire — how and why those FBI agents employed near Gestapo methods in Little Rock.

It is because I know of the pressures behind those acts that I refrained from harsher reporting. I have no defense for thus stretching my journalistic ethics — except that in my heart I believed — and still believe — that there will come a time when J. Edgar Hoover will finally cry out "I've had enough!" — and that his FBI will emerge as our supreme weapon to destroy the Great Conspiracy. For that reason, and because of that belief I shrank from saying anything that would diminish the confidence of the people in the man or in the organization.

There was still another reason why I tempered my reporting: throughout all those acts Hoover himself was completely silent. He issued no statements — he made no comments. That, to me, indicated a personal disapproval. And I was confident that sooner or later he would issue a statement or write a book in which he would clarify his position more effectively than any "apology" I could offer for him. Well, he did! He wrote a book. Ironically, he calls it "Masters of Deceit". The dismay I felt when the FBI took the Rosenberg woman off the Red hook was nothing compared to the constemation that hit me when I read certain passages in this book which bears the name of J. Edgar Hoover as author.

Earlier I stated that every word uttered by J. Edgar Hoover, because of our great faith in him, has inestimable value for us in our war with the Great Conspiracy — but that for the same reason every misconception he voices can be frightfully damaging. He confirms that in "Masters of Deceit." Much of the book is an amazing revelation of the techniques employed by the Communists and is a brilliant directive on how to fight that conspiracy — but it also contains misconceptions that are so obvious that I am led to wonder if the "Anti-Defamation League" had a hand in the writing of it.

THE TECHNIQUE OF THE HOLLYWOOD REDS

As I was reading the book, especially the misconceptions, I was reminded of the technique the Reds in Hollywood employed to infiltrate propaganda into some of our best Films — the better the

film, the more effective the propaganda. I unmasked that technique in my book, "Red Treason in Hollywood", published in 1949, as follows:

"The writer is the nerve center of the Film Studios. He is the man who can put a seemingly guileless line loaded with Commie propaganda into the mouth of a Gable or a Menjou and have true Americans innocently glorifying the Red ideology. So, the Commies' first objective was the Screen Writers' Guild, Under the direction of John Howard Lawson they transformed that Guild into their first absolute Red stronghold . . . and the craft and the guile those men (Red writers) employ puts all of us Americans to shame - chagrin" And then I described their technique: ". . . . Since the public's hue and cry against Commie propaganda in pictures American minded producers and directors are on the alert. So the writer is instructed by the Commie 'Control Board' not to write a complete Commie scene. but to inject a few TNT lines in an important and costly scene lines that seem innocent enough - until they are heard from the screen. If the Director is smart enough to catch such lines while shooting the picture they come out. But if he overlooks them - well, no Studio will spend fifty or a hundred thousand dollars to remake that scene for just a line or two.

"Another trick that's worked time and again: John Ford, or Leo McCarey, or some other 'right' director, is about to do a picture and needs a writer. Some agent, who plays ball with the Commies, is instructed to turn on a supersales talk and sell that director a mediocre hack writer who is seemingly untainted. A good Agent can do it, particularly if he also has a Star the Director wants, and especially as the writer will work for 'peanuts' -'peanuts' in Hollywood being \$500 a week. All right - the hack writer is handed the story. He takes it home. That night the story is analyzed by a group of really ace writers. They find the spots where Commie lines can be injected and carefully wrapped up in vital scenes - lines so sugar-coated that not even a Sam Wood would suspect the writer of malice aforethought. They work nights on it. Several weeks later the hack writer nonchalantly hands in this script - and knocks the director off his feet with its excellence! Why not? He has \$50,000 worth of writing in it for perhaps two or three thousand. He is so elated with the dialogue and construction that he completely forgets to scrutinize it - and when the picture is released a choice bit of Commie propaganda is passed out to the audience!"

RESEMBLANCE IN "MASTERS OF DECEIT"

The startling resemblance of that technique hit me as I came across those "misconceptions" in "Masters of Deceit". I will cite just two of them — one that whitewashes the NAACP, another one that

actually glorifies the vicious and notorious "Anti-Defamation League":

On page 246 I found the following statement: "The NAACP's national leadership has vigorously denounced Communist attempts at infiltration."

Now to begin with: the NAACP was founded not by negroes at all, but by one white female, Mary Ovington White, a notorious Abolitionist; one white male Socialist, Oswald Garrison Villard; one Russian trained Revolutionary, William F. Walling; one Rumanian born Jew, Dr. Henry Moskowitz; and one Mulatto, W. E. B. Du-Bois, who has been cited by the FBI, and various Congressional Committees, as having 72 Communist-Front affiliations. Thus, all but one of the Founders were Whites, all Red-tainted.

Now — approximately simultaneously with the founding of NA-ACP, one Israel Cohen, a Communist Party top functionary in England, wrote a book entitled "A RACIAL PROGRAM FOR THE 20th CENTURY," in which he made the following statement:

"We must realize that our Party's most powerful weapon is racial tension. By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mould them to the program of the Communist Party. In America, we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro Minority against the Whites, we will instill in the Whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise to prominence in every walk of life, in the professions and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige the Negro will be able to intermarry with the Whites and begin a process which will deliver America to our Cause."

If authenticity is required: the above excerpt was entered into the Congressional Record of June 7, 1957, by Rep. Thos. G. Abernathy.

Further documentary evidence that the NAACP was created by the Communist to foment unrest and strife between Blacks and Whites is found in the following: in 1935 the Communist Party's "Workers Library Publishers" issued a pamphlet entitled "THE NEGROES IN A SOVIET AMERICA".

It urged the Negroes of the South to rise up, form a Soviet state, and apply for admission to the Soviet Union it contained implicit assurance that the "revolt" would be supported by all American Reds on page 38 it promised that a Soviet government would confer greater benefits on Negroes than upon Whites, and that — "Any act of discrimination or

prejudice against a negro will become a crime under the Revolutionary law \dots ."

If that is not enough, I will now point out that even Mr. Hoover has never attempted to disprove the following statement made by the Hon. Eugene Cook in his official capacity as Attorney General of Georgia:

"The files of the House Un-American Activities Committee reveal records of affiliation with or participation in Communist, Communist-front, fellow-traveling or subversive organizations or activities on the part of the following presen; officials of the NAACP — — the President, Arthur B. Spingarn, 3; the Chairman of the Board, Channing H. Tobias, 10; the Honorary Chairman, W. E. B. DuBois, 72; the Treasurer, Allen Knight Chalmers, 3; eleven of the twenty-eight vice presidents, twenty-eight of the forty-seven directors; the chairman of the National Legal Committee, Lloyd Garrison, 5; the Executive Secretary, Roy Wilkins, 3; the special counsel, Thurgood Marshall; the Southeas: Regional Secretary, Ruby Hurley, 2; the Director of Public Relations, Henry Lee Moon, 3; the West Coast Secretary, Franklin H. Williams, 2; Director of the Washington Bureau, Clarence M. Mitchell and two field secretaries, one each."

No doubt Mr. Hoover's statement that "NAACP leaders vigorously denounce Communist attempts at infiltration" is literally true. But, inasmuch as he has all of the above facts in his own files, how could Mr. Hoover have been no naive as to credit them with their "denunciation"? Why should the Communists have to "infiltrate" an organization which their own Communist-Fronters (as of record) created and have controlled from the very beginning. That "denunciation" by the NAACP leaders is the same old Hollywood Red writers' technique to make a half-truth distort and make a seeming lie of a whole truth.

Now let's turn to Mr. Hoover's glorification of the "Anti-Defamation League." On page 257 of this book we find the following:

"Some of the most effective opposition to Communism in the United States has come from Jewish organizations such as B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish Committee, the American Jewish League Against Communism, the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE, and a host of other Jewish groups."

DID HOOVER WRITE THIS BOOK?

I am not a hero worshipper. If I were, J. Edgar Hoover would have been my most revered one. Throughout our war with the Great Conspiracy I have looked upon him as a divinely inspired

and dedicated Guardian of our beloved country. So I frankly confess that my heart was crying as I was reading his whitewash of the NAACP and his eulogy of the ADL.

Then calmer reflection set in. And I began to wonder if J. Edgar Hoover could have written this book. I express this doubt because those two statements, plus others, grossly insult this man's own intelligence. Mr. Hoover knows all about the Great Conspiracy. In addition, his own FBI files contain thousands of documentaries that incontrovertibly establish that both NAACP and ADL are chief instruments in that Conspiracy. Hence I can only conclude that "Masters Of Deceit" was ghost written. Or, if not entirely ghost written, it was "edited" to permit the inclusion of items which make it the most dangerous document ever submitted to the American people — and its entire danger lies in the fact that the name I. Edgar Hoover sponsors its "misconceptions". That danger is revealed, inadvertantly, of course, by an item on page 82 of the book which ostensibly refers to the Communist Party, but which ironically, applies equally as well to the book:

"The Party's objective is to drive a wedge, however slight, into as many minds as possible . . ."

That is exactly what this book is doing! The (comparatively few) fully informed fighting American Patriots who looked upon J. Edgar Hoover as our incorruptible leader are convinced that he has been "captured" by the Great Conspiracy . . . those who are less informed but who have been gradually alerted to the menace of the ADL and the NAACP, but who also regard Hoover as the great authority, are now confused the brainwashed are now more brainwashed than ever.

The danger of this book is greatly heightened by the fact that most of it is so excellent. This is particularly true of the latter sections. The bibliography, the appendices, the glossary of terms, all are truly edifying. It took a lot of sugar to coat the bitter "pill" that this is a book to "drive a wedge, however slight, into as many minds as possible"!

PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE

For the proof of that let's go back to that page 257 glorification of the ADL. In that item "Masters of Deceit" states: "Some of the most effective opposition to Communism has come from

B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish Committee, the American Jewish League Against Communism (and) the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE."

That statement more than anything else convinces me that "Masters of Deceit" was ghost written. J. Edgar Hoover could not have written those words — because they are definitely ADL technique! The ADL fastens their "anti-semitic" brand on those they wish to discredit by linking them with one or another of their established "smear-carriers." In the above, the ADL, B'nai B'rith and American Jewish Committee are "purified" by being linked with the American Jewish League Against Communism, headed by Rabbi Schultz and George Sokolsky, and the only Jewish group that is sincerely fighting Communism. That is a reversal of "Guilt by association" to "innocence by association" worthy only of the crafty masterminds of the ADL!

The best evidence that the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy considers "Masters Of Deceit" a choice instrument to "drive a wedge, however slight, into as many minds as possible " is the terrific advance publicity build-up given the book by our Left-wing press. The natural retort would be that any book by J. Edgar Hoover would be given a good "press." But that is not true —any book, unfavorable to Communism and the Internationalist Conspiracy, whether by Hoover or by anybody else, would be given the silent treatment. But "Masters of Deceit" was given the same Leftwing press ballyhoo that was accorded to the infamous "Under Cover" by the notorious John Roy Carlson of the many aliases the same kind of wild ovation that was given the ADL's vicious 1950 Year (Smear) book, "A Measure of Freedom", which openly and brazenly sought to foment hatred and strife between Whites and Blacks, between Catholics and Protestants, between Americans and Mexicans. In short, "Masters of Deceit" received the kind of an ovation that the Left-wing press accords only to books, plays and Films that do a JOB for the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy quite a reversal from the previous vilification of the FBI and, by that token, of J. Edgar Hoover - eh, what?

But having said all that, I still fully realize that no mere contradiction of mine, or by anybody else, can stand alone against a statement bearing the signature of J. Edgar Hoover.

Therefore, I shall now submit documentary evidence to back up my contradiction. The kind of documentary evidence that even Mr. Hoover cannot refute — because he has that same evidence in his own FBI files!

First, however, I wish to remind that during the past ten years

our CEG "News-Bulletins" have recorded many of the pro-Communist activities of the ADL and the American Jewish Committee. That includes the B'nai B'rith, inasmuch as the ADL and the B'nai B'rith are to all intents and purposes one and the same — the official name of the ADL is "The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith." As a matter of fact, I was vastly amazed when I found that Mr. Hoover had identified them as individual organizations. He knows better! The ADL and the B'nai B'rith are as closely linked together as the Department of Justice and the FBI.

In our May 1950 issue, entitled "Reds in the Anti-Defamation League", I revealed the entire background of that organization and named many of the Reds in its Directorate. Also in that issue I reviewed their "A Measure of Freedom" and revealed its deliberate objectives to foment strife and hatred between Whites and Blacks, Catholics and Protestants, Americans and Mexicans. I provided further documented evidence in our November 1951 issue, entitled "What is this thing called Anti-Semitism" also in many other issues. And in our November 1954 issue, "Freedom of the Press — To Promote Treason", I revealed the entire process by which the ADL controls our Press, Radio, TV, the Film industry, etc., and I named the leaders in that plot.

In addition to all that, my book "Red Treason on Broadway", published in 1954, was devoted almost in its entirety to the plottings of the ADL and how our Press, Radio, TV, Hollywood and the Entertainment World are directed by the ADL to brainwash the American people.

THE DOCUMENTARY PROOF

For my "documentary proof" to back up my contradiction of Mr. Hoover's good opinion about the ADL I shall now reprint a case I cited in "Red Treason on Broadway". I choose this case because I know that it is also documented in the files of the FBI — it was the FBI, working with the Naval Intelligence Bureau, that provided all the evidence in that case — and therefore it cannot be brushed off.

THE PACIFIC COAST SPY RING

"In the fall of 1938 one Mikhail Gorin was arrested in Los Angeles and charged with being the head of a Pacific Coast Spy ring.

"During the trial it was developed that Gorin was a Russian Communist and Soviet Agent, but ostensibly a highly respected manager of a Los Angeles travel agency called INTOURIST, Inc.

"One morning after Gorin had left for his office a dry-cleaner's truck driver called at the Gorin home for garments to be cleaned. Mrs. Gorin gave him some of her husband's suits. Back at his truck, the driver went through his employer's required routine of checking to make sure that nothing of value had been overlooked in the pockets. In one pocket he found an envelope containing a sheaf of Naval Intelligence papers. Suspecting the importance of his find, he promptly drove back to the office of his employer, who, in turn, took the envelope to the FBI. There every paper was carefully photostated and, later in the afternoon, the envelope was returned to Gorin, who, having discovered his loss, had hurried with his wife to the cleaning establishment and was feverishly waiting for the driver to come in off his route. He heaved a tremendous sigh of relief as the envelope was returned to him — seemingly intact and undisturbed.

"The FBI consulted with Rear Admiral Ellis M. Zacharias (now retired), the head of the Naval Intelligence Bureau in San Pedro. That sheaf of papers turned out to be maps and blueprints, together with explanatory notations, of the secret fortifications and Security set-ups of the Los Angeles and San Francisco harbors. The FBI and Naval Intelligence hurriedly instituted an investigation and established that that spy ring had been operating up and down the entire Pacific Coast under the supervision of the Russian Consul in Los Angeles. That was when Gorin and his chief lieutenant, one Hafis Salich, were arrested and charged with espionage and treason.

"During the trial it was developed that Salich, a Russian-born naturalized American Communist spy, had been in the employ of both the Naval Intelligence and the FBI.

"The moment he learned that Gorin had been arrested the very frightened Russian Consul called into consultation certain influential 'friends' who would find it highly necessary for their own safety to get this case quashed — and especially to prevent the press from 'breaking' the story . . . among these friends were ADL TOP BRASS!

"The ADI did not enter into this case officially — they never do, because they must keep their skirts clean they appoint one of their most trusted functionaries to 'carry the ball' while they stay in the background and pull the necessary wires, such as muzzling the press 'reaching' a Judge or, if the case is sufficiently important, forcing the State Department, the U S. Attorney General, and even the White House, to apply the pressures necessary to insure the decisions and conclusions they desire.

"Anyway, as a result of the appeal of the Russian Consul, the defense

of Gorin was assigned to ex-Judge Isaac Pacht, a top functionary and the most highly prized legal light of the 'Anti-Defamation League' in Los Angeles!

"Briefly, here is what happened: not one word of the entire case was ever published by the press — the ADL took care of that! However, Judge Ralph E. Jenney, before whom the case was tried, could not be 'reached', and, on March 20, 1939, he sentenced Gorin to six years in a Federal penitentiary, plus a fine of \$10,000. Salich was given a four year sentence, plus a fine of \$10,000.

"Pacht promptly appealed — and the Russian Ambassador in Washington put up a \$50,000 cash bail bond for Gorin — who was promptly released.

"Pacht's appeals, one to the Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth District, then to the United State Supreme Court, were denied — both Courts sustained the sentence. But that did not end the matter. Pacht and Gorin (still out on bail) flew on to New York and Washington. Men of great influence were contacted.

"Now, as the old saying goes - hold on to your hat!

"On March 20, 1941, Sumner Welles, then Acting Secretary of State, wrote to the then U. S. Attorney General, Robert H. Jackson, and instructed him to order J. Edgar Hoover to 'forget' all about Makhail Gorin — and to instruct Judge Jenney to dismiss the case and set Gorin free. Both orders were obeyed! And on March 22nd, two days later, the case was again heard before Judge Jenney, and the disgusted and furious Jenney was forced to dismiss the case and set Gorin free. Gorin sailed for Russia that same day!

"Lest there be the slightest doubt of the authenticity of the above, anybody can look this case up in the files of the District Court of Southern California, where it bears the following identification on the file cover:

13769 R. J.

Criminal

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Southern District of
California

Central Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - vs -HAFIS SALICH, MIKHAIL GORIN

"The most important point about that entire matter was this: it was a case of ESPIONAGE and TREASON caught red-handed. Everybody who aided and abetted Gorin to escape the penalty of his crime was equally guilty of treason. Therefore, it can be said that Sumner Welles, by reason of his

order to Jackson, committed Treason — Jackson, by reason of his muzzling the FBI, committed Treason. Now, Judge Isaac Pacht is a Big Shot with the ADL in California, but he did not personally carry enough weight to force the Acting Secretary of State and the U. S. Attorney General to commit treason. That required the power and influence of men like Felix Frankfurter, Henry Morgenthau, Herbert Lehman, then the National Chairman of the 'Anti-Defamation League', etc., etc. In short, it required all of the power and influence of the ADL to quash that case!

The entire story of that Pacific Coast Spy Ring case is too voluminous for this book — after all, it is only intended to serve as just one item of concrete evidence of the participation of the ADL and/or its top Brass in the Red Conspiracy. All of the details would require HUNDREDS of pages — but it SHOULD be told in its entirety — because it would reveal a grisly story of treason, committed by men who call themselves Americans, that would rank right with the Alger Hiss case, the Monmouth Laboratory Radar Spy Ring case and even the Rosenberg case! This story should be told in the full glare of the public spotlight — before a Senate Committee when Pacht and all his co-conspirators would have to tell the truth, or seek refuge behind the Fifth Amendment and thus convict themselves as traitors the entire story should be told with the complete files of the ADL, of the FBI, and the original Court records made available to the Investigating Committee!

"To emphasize the frightful viciousness of this entire case, I now submit the following story as it was front paged in the press on October 28, 1953:

"'EX-RED ARMY OFFICER TELLS OF RUSS SPY RINGS IN U. S.

"'By United Press

"New York, Oct. 28. — A former top Russian intelligence office told Senate investigators today that 20 to 25 Soviet spy rings were working in the United States in 1941. Naturally many of them began to operate long before 1941.

"The witness, Lieut. Col. Ismail G. Akhmedov, testifying for the first time before a Congressional group, told Senator William E. Jenner's Internal Security Subcommittee that he personally saw hundreds of documents of America's technical war secrets obtained by American spies

"'AMONG THE DOCUMENTS SEEN BY AKHMEDOV WERE BLUEPRINTS AND MAPS OF PACIFIC COAST HARBOR INSTALLATIONS AND FORTI-FICATIONS!!!"

I submit this Pacific Coast Spy-Ring case as documentary evi-

dence to back up my contradiction of Mr. Hoover's glorification of the ADL. I am sure that he can find all necessary confirmation in his own FBI files. I also wish to point out that this act of treason was committed in 1939, when Moscow and Germany were allies—not when all the Reds and Internationalists were screaming that "Russia is our ally!"

ADL NEVER DENIED THE CHARGE

"RED TREASON on BROADWAY" came off the press in January 1954. In the intervening four years, many thousands of copies have been circulating throughout this country and abroad. The ADL has never so much as protested the charges in the book. To my distinct knowledge, hundreds of well-meaning readers of the book have urged the ADL to clear the atmosphere by challenging my charges either on a public platform or in a Court of Law, but not even in their replies did the ADL deny them. I will cite two of their replies:

Among those who questioned the ADL there was Mrs. Annalee Stratemeyer, wife of Lt. Gen. George E. Stratemeyer, USAF (Ret), a name that ranks with Douglas MacArthur and George Patton in the esteem of the American people. The ADL could hardly ignore such a question coming from such a distinguished American. Mrs. Stratemeyer addressed her letter directly to Henry E. Schultz, National Chairman of the ADL. She pointed out to him that I had challenged the ADL and/or any of those named in my charges to meet me in public debate on Radio or TV and disprove those charges — or to sue me for criminal libel. She asked why they had failed to do either.

After a considerable delay, Mr. Schultz replied. He concluded an oblique retort with the following:

"In regard to Fagan's 'challenges' to the Anti-Defamation League, we do not believe that it is in the public interest for us to help provide him with a forum for airing his malice."

Another case was a telephone conversation between a Miss Jane O'Brian and one Judge Irwin Shapiro, vice chairman of the ADL. Shapiro is a Judge in Queen's County, New York, and at the time

was a candidate for a higher political office. This conversation took place in October, 1957, and was as follows:

Miss O'Brian: "Judge Shapiro, this is Jane O'Brian. My club members and friends decided to cast our votes for you until we noticed the tract distributed with the indication on the back that you are Vice-Chairman of the Anti-Defamation League. Isn't it true that the latter is under suspicion by the FBI as being a subversive organization?"

Shapiro (shouting): "Of course not!"

Miss O'Brian: "I have a book before me entitled 'Red Treason on Broadway' which manifests that the ADL had issued a subversive film that was halted by - - -"

Shapiro (broke in heatedly): "Yes, I have a copy of that book — it was written by that crackpot, Fagan!"

Miss O'Brian: "Well, why hasn't Mr. Fagan been sued if his statements are untrue?"

Shapiro (shouting): "Because he is a crackpot seeking publicity for the book! It so happens that President Eisenhower and J. Edgar Hoover received awards last year from the ADL. If you don't trust our own President then vote for my opponents!"

Miss O'Brian assured him that that was exactly what they would do — and disconnected.

Such were the answers from two top officials of the ADL — do I have to make any further comment?

In Shapiro's last statement we have additional concrete evidence of the danger in *J. Edgar Hoover's* book — how it will be used as a cover-up for all the activities of the ADL.

And now I will submit some evidence that should convince Mr. Hoover that I am not alone in my opinion that his "glorification" of the ADL was a grave "mistake".

As we all know, the ADL did a lot of the masterminding for the passage of the Eisenhower (so-proclaimed) Civil Rights Bill. Indeed, both the ADL and the American Jewish Committee continually boast that it was their pressures and influences that forced the passage of that (their) Bill.

Actually, their "victory" was not as sweeping as they had hoped it would be, but they saw in it a means to further glorify themselves in the opinion of the American people. Their idea was simple, as it was brilliant — if it had worked: briefly, they would get Congress to "glorify" them as Eisenhower glorified them by his presence as guest (some pronounced it jest) of honor at their 40th Anniversary Banquet in 1953. So they issued the following invitation to all the members of Both Houses of Congress:

"ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE

"of B'nai B'rith

"National Chairman
"Henry Edward Schultz

"515 Madison Ave.,

"New York 22, N. Y.

January 21, 1958

"Dear Congressman -

"It is a great pleasure to inform you that the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, at its recent annual meeting, voted to present the 1957 America's Democratic Legacy Silver Medallion to the 85th Congress of the United States for distinguished contributions to the enrichment of our heritage of freedom.

"In reaching this decision, our National Commission pays tribute to this Congress for its enactment of the first federal civil rights law in 82 years, and for the statesmanlike and constructive attitudes demonstrated by the members of Congress in high and earnest level of discussion of the issues.

"The medal has been awarded annually since 1948 to an American citizen or institution for the practical advancement of our American ideals. Among the recipients have been President Truman, President Eisenhower and such organizations as the Carnegie Corporation, the Rockefeller and Ford Foundations. Indeed, you may recall the Dinner With The President held by the League in Washington in 1953 at which President Eisenhower accepted the medallion and made an historic address.

"To make appropriate presentations this year, we have arranged to hold our annual award dinner at the Mayflower Hotel in Washington on the evening of March 3rd. We plan to present the award to the elected leaders of both Parties in the House and the Senate — Senator Lyndon B. Johnson and Senator William F. Knowland for their colleagues in the Senate, and Speaker Sam Rayburn and Representative Joseph W. Martin, Jr., for their colleagues in the House.

"As a member of the 85th Congress, you will receive within the next few days a formal invitation to be our honored guest at the award dinner. May I urge that you mark the date — Monday, March 3rd, 6 P.M. — on your calendar and that you return the R.S.V.P. card at your earliest convenience.

"It is our hope that you will find it possible, in your busy schedule, to honor us with your presence at the dinner and at the presentation ceremonies to your distinguished colleagues.

"Sincerely yours,

"Henry E. Schultz"

And at once they began preparations to make it a gala event — with a nationwide presentation on TV, during which the guests of honor would, of course, eulogize the ADL as a great, loyal American organization — exactly as the "military genius" did in 1953.

But, alas and alack — and thank God — there seems to be quite a few men in both Houses of Congress who just do not appreciate the ADL more than THREE HUNDRED of them promptly, some scathingly, rejected the invitations. The shaken and dismayed ADL hastily cancelled their TV time and abandoned all their grandiose publicity campaigns. Even their own N. Y. Times and Washington Post-Herald could hardly find words that could brush off that kind of a slap in the teeth. Even they could hardly contend that "everybody is out of step except our" Nor, I am sure, would J. Edgar Hoover contend that those three hundred Solons are ignorant morons and/or just plain "anti-semites."

With this I wish to stress one more point: throughout the years both the ADL and the American Jewish Committee have loudly proclaimed that they are loyal and patriotic American groups whose prime objective is to protect the rights of the minority groups (said minority groups being Jews and Negroes).

Recently a Senate Committee in a report on the so-called reorganization of the Communist Party U.S.A. accused the "Party" of trying to "foist on the public the belief it is basically American and striking an 'idealistic pose' as champion of minority rights"

Quite a co-incidence, isn't it?

OH, FOR AN ETHAN ALLEN TODAY!

Ethan Allen was the rugged Vermonter who saved the American Revolution in its early days by capturing Fort Ticonderoga "in the name of the Great Jehovah and the Continental Congress." He had one trait which J. Edgar Hoover might profitably cultivate today.

Allen believed that the American people had unlimited capabilities — and he believed that if they used those capabilities up to the hilt they would not need to be afraid of anything.

Even though the Colonies were already launched in their fight for independence when Allen took Ticonderoga, the Declaration of Independence had not yet been adopted, nor, in fact, written, and certain timid members of the Continental Congress suggested that the cannon and ammunition captured at Ticonderoga should be returned to the British, Allen exploded when he heard of the proposal — and he wrote Congress a letter in which he said:

"I wish to God that America would at this critical hour exert herself! She might rise on eagle wings and mount up to glory, freedom and immortal honor if she did but know her strength."

At his demand that letter was read to the entire Congress. It gave added strength to the strong ones and stiffened the spines of the weak ones. The cannons were not returned to the British. They were sent to Washington, who used them to drive the British out of Boston and to set the Colonies on the high road to independence.

Ethan Allen's heartfelt prayer of 1775 is a wonderful one for all of us in 1958 to keep in mind — "I wish to God America would at this critical hour exert herself!"

And as I wrote that prayer, J. Edgar Hoover came back into my mind. J. Edgar Hoover has in his files today the ammunition that Ethan Allen had when he took Ticonderoga. All that J. Edgar Hoover would have to do to save America today is what Ethan Allen did in 1775 — if he would send the same message to Congress, together with his files, he would save America today as Ethan Allen did in 1775.

And do you know, in my heart I have a feeling that some fine day he will do just that! Despite "Masters of Deceit" I still believe in J. Edgar Hoover. I believe that in his heart he is the kind of an American that Ethan Allen was. I fully realize the pressures under which he has to operate. Herbert Brownell was his boss when he was writing that book — and in the White House we have a manwho jumps at the crack of the Internationalists' whip. But J. Edgar Hoover can disregard all those men, so I appeal to him to do what Ethan Allen did — defy them — reveal those files to Congress and

the people — and save America! Not the Attorney General, not the President of the United States, not all of them together would dare to touch him — the American people would tear them to shreds before they could lift a finger!

Mr. Hoover, there is an even greater parable for you to ponder on in the depths of your heart:

Two thousand years ago a Man named Jesus stood before Pontius Pilate. Pontius Pilate said he saw no wrong in the Man. And he wanted to set him free. But there was a Cabal in that time — just as there is one today. And that "Anti-Defamation League" of that time demanded that Jesus be turned over to them — just as the "Anti-Defamation League" of today is demanding that America be turned over to them and Pontius Pilate surrendered to their pressures.

Please, please, Mr. Hoover, don't you go down in history as the Pontius Pilate of the twentieth century!

10. 10. 15. 136